ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA51379**Filing date: **11/01/2005** 

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91161373
Party	Defendant Barilla Alimentare S.p.A. Barilla Alimentare S.p.A. Via Mantova 166 ITX Parma PR, OH 43100
Correspondence Address	G. FRANKLIN ROTHWELL ROTHWELL FIGG ERNST & MANBECK PC 1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20005
Submission	Motion to Extend
Filer's Name	Carla C. Calcagno
Filer's e-mail	ccalcagno@rfem.com
Signature	/Carla C. Calcagno/
Date	11/01/2005
Attachments	19944.pdf ( 3 pages )

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

American Italian Pasta Company	)	
Opposer,	)	
V.	)	ODDOGVETOVI NO. 011 (1272
Barilla G. E R. Fratelli	)	OPPOSITION NO. 91161373
- Società Per Azioni (By change of name),	)	
(D) change of hame,	)	
Applicant.	)	

#### **MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME**

Applicant respectfully requests that the Board extend the discovery period and trial dates by two days. As grounds for this motion, Applicant's counsel cites the following good cause:

- 1. Applicant's counsel belatedly received the Board's September 14, 2005 Order.

  Specifically, Applicant's counsel's firm did not receive the Order until September 26, 2005, almost two weeks after that Order was issued.
- 2. On October 18, 2005, Applicant's counsel was hit in a car accident, resulting in an acute back injury which has impaired Applicant's counsel's ability to work since that date. Applicant's counsel has worked part-time since that date and has attempted diligently to finish reviewing Opposer's document productions in order to complete discovery.

#### **ARGUMENT**

Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b) provides that extensions should be granted for cause shown. In interpreting this provision, the TTAB has held that extensions should be granted liberally unless the privilege has been abused.

OPPOSITION NO. 91161373 MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Page 2 of 3

Applicant believes that it has shown good cause for the requested extension. Unfortunately, due

to events beyond Applicant's counsel's control, Applicant did not receive the Board's Order scheduling

discovery until approximately two weeks after it was issued. Further, Applicant's counsel, through no

fault of her own, was involved in a car accident that impaired her ability to work. Applicant has not

abused the privilege of extensions in this case, as the record will well reflect.

Moreover, Opposer cannot be prejudiced by the brief extension sought. Applicant is seeking

only a two-day extension of the period for discovery so as to allow Applicant's counsel time to complete

the investigations needed to finalize her discovery requests. Concurrently herewith, Applicant is serving

some additional discovery on the Opposer, but requires additional time to review Opposer's previous

responses.

WHEREFORE, Applicant respectfully requests that the Board extend discovery and trial dates by

two days.

Respectfully submitted,

Barilla G. E R. Fratelli -

Società Per Azioni

By

Carla C. Calcagno

Attorneys for Applicant

ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERŇST & MANBECK

1425 K Street, N.W., Suite 800

Washington, D.C. 20005

Telephone: (202) 783-6040

Dated: November 1, 2005

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME was served via first-class mail, in a postage prepaid envelope, on counsel for Opposer as follows:

Thomas H. Van Hoozer, Esq. Law Offices of Hovey Williams LLP 2405 Grand Boulevard Suite 400 Kansas City, Mo. 64108-2519

This 1st day of November, 2005.

Joan Adair